arXiv:1402.2480v1 [astro-ph.SR] 11 Feb 2014

Prospects for detecting *asteroseismic binaries* in *Kepler* data

A. Miglio[1], W. J. Chaplin[1]

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

R. Farmer, U. Kolb

Department of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

L. Girardi

INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

Y. Elsworth[1]

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

T. Appourchaux

Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, UMR8617, Université Paris XI, Bâtiment 121, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

R. Handberg[1]

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

Received _____; accepted _____

[1]Stellar Astrophysics Centre (SAC), Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

ABSTRACT

Asteroseismology may in principle be used to detect unresolved stellar binary systems comprised of solar-type stars and/or red giants. This novel method relies on the detection of the presence of two solar-like oscillation spectra in the frequency spectrum of a single lightcurve. Here, we make predictions of the numbers of systems that may be detectable in data already collected by the NASA *Kepler* Mission. Our predictions, which are based upon TRILEGAL and BiSEPS simulations of the *Kepler* field of view, indicate that as many as 200 or more "asteroseismic binaries" may be detectable in this manner. Most of these binaries should be comprised of two He-core-burning red giants. Owing largely to the limited numbers of targets with the requisite short-cadence *Kepler* data, we expect only a small number of detected binaries containing solar-type stars. The predicted yield of detections is sensitive to the assumed initial mass ratio distribution of the binary components and therefore represents a sensitive calibration of the much debated initial mass ratio distribution near mass ratio unity.

Subject headings: binaries: general — Galaxy: stellar content — stars: evolution — stars: statistics — surveys

1. Introduction

The NASA *Kepler* Mission has provided a wealth of data for studying stellar binary systems. More than 2000 eclipsing binaries have so far been found (Prša et al. 2011; Slawson et al. 2011). Circumbinary planets have also been discovered in several of these systems (e.g., see Welsh et al. 2012; Orosz et al. 2012). The *Kepler* eclipsing binary catalogue[1] provides information on binaries with periods up to a few hundred days. In this paper we discuss the prospects for using asteroseismology as a novel way of discovering non-eclipsing binary systems in numbers that would potentially provide a useful statistical sample, out to periods much longer than the eclipsing binary catalogue.

Asteroseismology has been one of the major successes of *Kepler*. It has in particular revolutionized the study of stars that show solar-like oscillations, with detections made in several hundred cool main-sequence and subgiant stars (Chaplin et al. 2011c, 2014), and over ten-thousand red giants (e.g., see Bedding et al. 2011; Hekker et al. 2011; Huber et al. 2011, 2013a). These stars present rich spectra of overtones in the frequency-power spectrum.

Asteroseismology may be used to discover candidate binaries by detecting the presence of two solar-like oscillation spectra in the frequency spectrum of a single *Kepler* lightcurve. The oscillation spectra may be well separated in frequency; or they may overlap, with the individual oscillation peaks of the two stars interspersed. To illustrate the concept, we have created an artificial example by using *Kepler* data on two red giants in the open cluster NGC 6819. We combined the lightcurves of two cluster members – KIC 5024405 and KIC 5112950 – with detected oscillations (from Fig. 2 of Stello et al. 2010). The bottom of our Fig. 1 shows the frequency-power spectrum of the combined lightcurve (i.e., the simulated asteroseismic binary), whilst the upper two panels show the spectra of

[1]see `http://keplerebs.villanova.edu/`

the two original lightcurves. Arrows mark the locations of the solar-like oscillations. The analysis required to discriminate the constituent oscillation frequencies of stars comprising asteroseismic binaries is beyond the intended scope of the present paper, although we add that in some scenarios – when the oscillations spectra are well separated in frequency – it is a fairly trivial matter. That would have been the case for our artificial example: the oscillations are clearly separated (because KIC 5112950 is about 2.5-times more luminous than KIC 5024405).

Our aim in this paper is to estimate – subject to different assumptions about the underlying binary population – the number of binaries in the *Kepler* field where both components would be expected to show detectable solar-like oscillations in *Kepler* data. Our results are based on predictions from two stellar population simulations of the *Kepler* field, to which we applied target selection criteria based on those used to select the real *Kepler* target list. We consider binaries comprised of solar-type stars and/or red giants. Imposing the seismic detectability constraint for both components strongly favours binaries with mass ratio near unity. The predicted number therefore represents a very sensitive calibration of the much debated initial mass ratio distribution (IMRD; e.g. Goodwin (2013) and references therein) near mass ratio unity.

The layout of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the population synthesis predictions made by TRILEGAL (Girardi et al. 2005, 2012) (Section 2.1) and BiSEPS (Farmer, Kolb & Norton 2013) (Section 2.2); and target selection applied to the raw predictions (Section 2.3). In Section 3 we explain how we used the data on the intrinsic properties of the synthetic target-selected populations to make the asteroseismic detection predictions. Section 4 presents results on the asteroseismically detected synthetic binaries. We end in Section 5 with concluding remarks on the implications of our results for analysis and exploitation of the real, available *Kepler* data.

2. Population synthesis predictions

2.1. TRILEGAL

We used TRILEGAL (see Girardi et al. 2005, 2012, for a detailed description) to simulate the Milky Way. TRILEGAL comprises a geometric model for the principal components, e.g., the thin and thick discs, halo and bulge, with constituent populations having a prescribed star formation rate and age-metallicity relation. The dimensions of the components were calibrated from selected real observations (e.g., where reddening is small and photometric incompleteness is not an issue). The implicit assumption is made that, aside from interstellar dust, the Galactic components are smoothly distributed, and uniform in their distributions of age and metallicity.

Standard parameters were used for each component of the Milky Way. Stellar populations were simulated for the fields covered by each of the 21 five-square-degree *Kepler* CCD pairs. Interstellar extinction was modelled at infinity (Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis 1998), and assumed to arise from a dust layer having a 100-pc scale height. Stellar magnitudes were estimated in the *Kepler* bandpass using the known instrumental response function. To mimic the selection function used to compile the *Kepler* target list, the synthetic population was filtered using the procedure described below in Section 2.3.

Non-interacting binaries are included in the stellar populations simulated with TRILEGAL. For each star, there is a probability $f_{\rm b}$ that it belongs to a binary system. The secondary star has the same age and metallicity as the primary, and its mass is drawn from a flat IMRD in the interval $[b_{\rm b}, 1]$. Default values of $f_{\rm b}$ and $b_{\rm b}$ are 0.3 and 0.7, which we also adopt in our reference simulations.

2.2. BiSEPS

The BiSEPS code (see Willems & Kolb 2002, 2004; Willems, Kolb & Justham 2006; Farmer, Kolb & Norton 2013, for a detailed description) employs the semi-analytical description for single and binary star evolution by Hurley, Pols & Tout (2000) and Hurley, Tout & Pols (2002) to create a large library of evolutionary tracks. The resulting Galactic stellar population models therefore include evolving and interacting binaries in a self-consistent way. The evolutionary tracks are weighted according to initial distribution functions and convolved with a star formation history. We adopt canonical initial distribution functions for (primary) mass and orbital separation, while the secondary mass is selected from a power-law IMRD, $\chi(q) = (s+1)q^s$, where q is the initial mass ratio and s is a free parameter. We consider simulations computed with $s = -0.5, 0$, and 1, assuming that 50% of all systems form as binaries.

To model the *Kepler* field content we take into account contributions by the thin disc and thick disc populations, adopting hydrogen abundance $X = 0.70$ for all stars and representative metallicities $Z = 0.02$ and $Z = 0.0033$, respectively. The extinction is calculated following Drimmel, Cabrera-Lavers & López-Corredoira (2003), with bolometric corrections and extinction coefficients taken from Girardi et al. (2008).

2.3. Target Selection

To model the impact that the *Kepler* target selection procedure has on the simulated binary sample we tested the synthetic *Kepler* field against the same criteria that were used to compile the actual *Kepler* target list from the *Kepler* Input catalogue (KIC)[2]. The rank-order is determined by the minimum detectable planet radius (neglecting intrinsic

[2]`http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/kepler/kic/kicindex.html`

stellar noise) for observations lasting the full duration of the nominal *Kepler* mission.

The selection process involves the creation of synthetic full-frame images – which also include detector noise and zodiacal noise – to determine the optimum aperture that maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio for each target. The ranking is based on stellar parameters estimated from the synthetic colors of each target, following *Kepler*'s Stellar Classification Program (Brown et al. 2011). We modelled binaries as being comprised of two point sources, with effective magnitudes calculated from the summed fluxes of the stars in the considered wavelength band.

The BiSPES model applies a set of colour corrections to its synthetic stars to force better agreement with the actual KIC in colour-colour space; this step was not needed for the TRILEGAL model.

Full details of the target selection procedure are presented in Farmer, Kolb & Norton (2013).

3. Predictions of asteroseismic detectability

We applied the procedure in Chaplin et al. (2011b) to every simulated star in the target-selected samples to predict whether we would expect to make an asteroseismic detection. The procedure uses as input the fundamental properties of each modelled target – be it a single field star or both components of a binary – as well as the simulated *Kepler* apparent magnitude, and the assumed duration of the observations, to estimate what would be the observed photometric signal amplitude due to solar-like oscillations, granulation and shot noise. From these estimates we may calculate the likelihood of making a robust detection of solar-like oscillations. As in Chaplin et al. (2011b), we flag a detection as made when the estimated probability of detection is higher than 90%.

We corrected the predicted amplitudes of the oscillations and granulation signals of targets in binaries to allow for the dilution of the observed signal due to the presence of the companion star. These corrections were made in the *Kepler* bandpass, using the bolometric corrections in Ballot, Barban & van't Veer-Menneret (2011).

We adopted two different assumed observation durations, depending on the type of target. The 58.85-sec *Kepler* short-cadence (SC) data are needed to detect oscillations in cool main-sequence and subgiant stars, since the dominant oscillations have periods of the order of minutes. These short periods are not accessible to the 29.4-minute long-cadence (LC) data (for which the Nyquist frequency is $\simeq 283\,\mu$Hz). Due to the target-limited nature of the SC data, around 2000 targets identified in the KIC as solar-type stars were observed for only one month at a time during the asteroseismic survey conducted in the first 10 months of science operations. To mimic this limitation of the real target sample, we therefore set the observation time to 1 month for any simulated star that would require SC data to detect its oscillations. The threshold for detection in SC lies at the base of the red-giant branch. We set the observation duration to 4 years for more evolved targets that would need only the LC data to detect their oscillations.

4. Results

Fig. 2 is a Hertzsprung-Russell diagram showing members of the target-selected TRILEGAL and BiSEPS samples with predicted asteroseismic detections. Results are shown from simulations in which the binary populations were given a flat IMRD. Grey stars (circles) mark cases where a detection was predicted for the more luminous component of a binary (a single field star). The coloured symbols connected by solid lines mark cases where *both* components of a binary showed detectable solar-like oscillations.

Results given by the target-selected sample from the TRILEGAL population, having $f_{\rm b} = 0.3$ and $b_{\rm b} = 0.7$, predict that there will be around 200 detectable asteroseismic binaries in the *Kepler* LC data. This corresponds to just over 1 % of the 20,000 red giants flagged as showing a seismic detection. Most of these asteroseismic binaries will be comprised of two stars in the He-core burning, or red-clump, phase (see Fig. 3). Similar results were returned by the BiSEPS population when an IMRD with exponent $s = 1$ was adopted. Absolute numbers drop to around 120 (60) when $s = 0$ ($s = -0.5$). The synthetic target-selected samples include a small fraction of binaries that are either in a phase of mass transfer or have undergone such a phase in the past. None of these objects are detectable as asteroseismic binaries.

Only a handful of binaries will be detectable in the main-sequence and subgiant phase. This is due both to the restrictions on the number of suitable targets with the requisite SC data (see Section 3), and the lower observable asteroseismic signal-to-noise ratios in these stars, compared to red giants (which makes seismic detection of binaries much harder).

We may gain some insights on the likely robustness of the predicted *absolute* numbers of binary detections by comparing predicted numbers of individual detections with those already made from the *Kepler* data. The simulations predict detections in around 1500 main-sequence and subgiant stars (note we have compensated for the fact that the simulated target selected samples are around 20 % larger than the real *Kepler* target sample). The predicted number of detections is approximately a factor of two higher than the number of recorded detections (Chaplin et al. 2011c; Verner et al. 2011; Huber et al. 2013). Some of this difference may be attributed to the restricted number of SC target slots that were available to the real asteroseismic survey; and the selection procedure for the asteroseismic targets (which we have not reproduced here). There will also be a contribution due to stellar magnetic activity, which reduces the detectability of the solar-like oscillations

(Chaplin et al. 2011a). This is an effect we have not allowed for in our simulations. Our conclusions for the SC data will remain the same in spite of these differences: we expect very few seismic binary detections in SC.

The impact of activity will be much less important for the more evolved cohort of stars observed in LC, where the simulations predict detections in more than 20,000 giant stars. Detections have already been recorded in around 16,000 red giants. Stello et al. (2013) report on the asteroseismic analysis of more than 13,000 red giants (see also Hekker et al. 2011); whilst another 3000 detections have recently been made from the analysis of targets that were previously unclassified in the KIC (Huber et al. 2013a). There are undoubtedly other cohorts of *Kepler* targets, containing red-giant stars, that have not yet been subjected to asteroseismic analysis (e.g., the so-called "add back in" stars at the bottom of the *Kepler* target list). The absolute predictions for the LC data are therefore likely to be fairly robust, and hence the *Kepler* LC data on red giants should provide testable diagnostics of the underlying binary population, i.e., by giving detections in numbers that are sufficient for drawing statistical inference.

A crucial consideration for drawing any inferences from the real data is of course the likely occurrence rate of false-positives, i.e., the fraction of seismically detected "binaries" we would actually expect to be comprised of spatially coincident – at the level of the 4 arcsec pixel size of *Kepler* – but physically unassociated, single stars. The false-positive rate is limited considerably by the fact that only the 20,000 or so single stars with detectable oscillations can potentially contribute to it. We estimate that we should expect only a few false-positives (the median expectation value is ≈ 5) in the LC data. Taking the predictions above, this translates to a false-positive rate of less than 10 % of detectable asteroseismic binaries, and in some cases significantly lower depending on the properties of the underlying binary population and hence absolute numbers of expected detections. It is also worth

adding that the fraction of resolvable binaries will be close to zero, i.e., the contribution to false-negatives from resolved binaries is likely to be negligible.

Our simulations indicate that the mass ratios of the detectable binaries will lie close to unity (within 10 %; see upper-left panel of Fig. 4). We find this result to be largely independent of the assumed IMRD. Many of the detectable binaries will have He-core-burning stars, where the observed mass ratios may have been affected by mass loss and hence altered from the initial values. However, in the simulations – which use the prescription for RGB mass loss of Reimers (1975) – we find that the observed MRD of asteroseismic binaries is an excellent proxy for the initial MRD (Fig. 4, upper-left panel).

The mass distribution of primary components in asteroseismic binaries is peaked at a higher value compared to single stars, or primary components in binaries in which oscillations can be detected in only one component (Fig. 4, upper-right panel). We ascribe this to the longer duration (relative to the main-sequence) of the core-He burning phase in higher-mass giants.

Most detectable seismic binaries will have oscillation spectra that overlap considerably in frequency. The observed power in the oscillations spectrum of a solar-type or red-giant star is modulated in frequency by an envelope that typically has an approximately Gaussian shape. With $\nu_{\rm max}$ denoting the frequency at which the oscillations present their strongest observed power, the FWHM of the envelope is to good approximation equal to $\nu_{\rm max}/2$ (Mosser et al.. 2010; Chaplin et al. 2011b). The bottom panel of Fig. 4 plots the difference in the $\nu_{\rm max}$ of the components of asteroseismic binaries (from the TRILEGAL simulations), normalized by the average of the two $\nu_{\rm max}$. When that fractional difference lies within ± 0.5, the peak of the oscillations spectrum of each star lies within the FWHM of its companion; the spectra therefore overlap significantly. Only when the normalized difference exceeds unity is the observable power of the two oscillations spectra all but separated in frequency.

The bottom panel of Fig. 4 shows that cases where the spectra are well separated should be rare.

The distribution of the orbital periods shown by the artificial asteroseismic binaries is plotted in Fig. 5. In general it follows the distribution shown by all binaries, but displays a short-period cut-off near 40 days, reflecting the need to accommodate two giant stars.

5. Conclusions

We have used results from two stellar population simulations of the *Kepler* field of view to consider potential detection yields from applying a novel asteroseismic method to discover binary systems comprised of solar-type stars and/or red giants. The method relies on the detection of the presence of two solar-like oscillation spectra in the frequency spectrum of a single *Kepler* lightcurve. Our predictions suggest that 200 or more binaries may be detectable in this manner using the *Kepler* data. Most of these binaries should be comprised of two He-core-burning red giants. Owing largely to the limited numbers of targets with the requisite short-cadence *Kepler* data, we expect only a small number of detected binaries containing solar-type stars.

The method is not biased by, or dependent on, the inclination, period (separation) or velocities of the constituent components. As such, it may provide the only way to detect some of the binaries that are in the *Kepler* database. The predicted yield of detections is sensitive to the IMRD, which is a robust indicator of the pristine mass distribution at the epoch of star formation (e.g., see Parker et al. 2013). Given the additional constraints, the detection of asteroseismic binaries will also provide targets that are useful for testing stellar models and will add significantly to the list of eclipsing binaries with a solar-like oscillating component (e.g., see Hekker et al. 2011; Gaulme et al. 2013).

Work is now underway to discover asteroseismic binaries in the *Kepler* data archive.

The authors acknowledge useful discussions with J. Ballot, R. García, S. Hekker, D. Stello and T. White. W.J.C., Y.E., A.M., R.F. and U.K. acknowledge support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC). Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (Grant agreement no.: DNRF106). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 312844 (SPACEINN). We are grateful for support from the International Space Science Institute (ISSI). Finally, we also thank the anonymous referee for comments that helped to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

Ballot J., Barban C., van't Veer-Menneret C., 2011, A&A, 531, A124

Bedding T. R. et al., 2011, Nature, 471, 608

Brown T. M., Latham D. W., Everett M. E., Esquerdo G. A., 2011, AJ, 142, 112

Chaplin W. J. et al., 2011a, ApJL, 732, L5

—, 2011b, ApJ, 732, 54

—, 2011c, Science, 332, 213

Chaplin W. J., Basu S., Serenelli A., Esquerdo G. A., et al., 2014, ApJS, 210, 1

Drimmel R., Cabrera-Lavers A., López-Corredoira M., 2003, A&A, 409, 205

Farmer R., Kolb U., Norton A. J., 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1133

Gaulme P., McKeever J., Rawls M. L., Jackiewicz J., Mosser B., Guzik J. A., 2013, ApJ, 767, 82

Girardi L. et al., 2012, in Red Giants as Probes of the Structure and Evolution of the Milky Way, Miglio A., Montalbán J., Noels A., eds., ApSS Proceedings

Girardi L. et al., 2008, PASP, 120, 583

Girardi L., Groenewegen M. A. T., Hatziminaoglou E., da Costa L., 2005, A&A, 436, 895

Girardi L., 1999, MNRAS, 308, 818

Goodwin S. P., 2013, MNRAS, 430, L6

Hekker S., et al., 2010, ApJ, 713, L187

Hekker S. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 2594

Huber D. et al., 2011, ApJ, 743, 143

—, 2013, ApJ, 767, 127

—, 2013, ApJS, in the press

Hurley J. R., Pols O. R., Tout C. A., 2000, MNRAS, 315, 543

Hurley J. R., Tout C. A., Pols O. R., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 897

Kroupa P., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 231

Mosser, B. et al., 2010, A&A, 517, A22

Orosz J. A. et al., 2012, Science, 337, 1511

Parker D. J., Reggiani M. M., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 2378

Reimers D., 1975, Mémoires of the Societé Royale des Sciences de Liège, 8, 369

Pinsonneault M. H., An D., Molenda-Żakowicz J., Chaplin W. J., Metcalfe T. S., Bruntt H., 2012, ApJS, 199, 30

Prša A. et al., 2011, AJ, 141, 83

Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525

Slawson R. W. et al., 2011, AJ, 142, 160

Stello D. et al., 2010, ApJL, 713, L182

Stello D. et al., 2013, ApJL, 765, L41

Verner G. A. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 415, 3539

Welsh W. F. et al., 2012, Nature, 481, 475

Willems B., Kolb U., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1004

—, 2004, A&A, 419, 1057

Willems B., Kolb U., Justham S., 2006, MNRAS 367, 1103

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— Illustration of the concept: artificial asteroseismic binary created by using *Kepler* data on two red giants with detected oscillations in the open cluster NGC 6819, KIC 5024405 and KIC 5112950 (from Fig. 2 of Stello et al. 2010). Bottom panel: frequency-power spectrum of the combined lightcurve. Upper two panels: spectra of the original two lightcurves. Arrows mark the locations of the solar-like oscillations for each star.



Fig. 2.— Left-hand panel: Hertzsprung-Russell diagram of a synthetic population computed with TRILEGAL. Single stars with predicted detectable oscillations are shown as open circles. Primary components of binaries in which oscillations can only be detected in one component are represented by stars. Couples of filled circles and diamonds connected by solid lines indicate members of binary systems for which oscillations are expected to be detectable in both components, i.e., asteroseismic binaries. Color illustrates the evolutionary state of each component. Right-hand panel: Same as left-hand panel, but showing results obtained with BiSEPS assuming $s = 0$ (see Section 2.2).



Fig. 3.— Stacked histograms showing the evolutionary state of the secondary component of detectable seismic binaries as a function of the evolutionary state of the primary.



Fig. 4.— Upper-left panel: Mass-ratio distribution of binaries in a TRILEGAL simulation (green bars) based on a uniform IMRD with $f_{\rm b} = 0.3$ and $b_{\rm b} = 0.7$ (see Section 2.1). The MRD of detectable seismic binaries is illustrated by wide yellow bars, while narrow orange bars show the IMRD. Upper-right panel: mass distribution of primary components in asteroseismic binaries (narrow magenta bars), of primary components in binaries in which oscillations can be detected in only one component (dark blue bars), and of single stars with detectable oscillations (wide light-blue bars). Bottom panel: Histogram of the difference in the $\nu_{\rm max}$ of the components of seismically detected binaries (from the TRILEGAL simulations), normalized by the average of the two $\nu_{\rm max}$ (see text).



Fig. 5.— Orbital period distribution of all binaries (narrow green bars) and detectable seismic binaries (wide yellow bars) in a BiSEPS simulation computed assuming $s = 0$ (see Section 2.2).